Full Annual Education Report

School-Level Student Assessment Data for Wayne RESA, Grosse Pointe Public Schools, Robert Trombly Elementary School

Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Lang	guage Arts / F	Reading							
Grade: 03									
All Students	2008-09	100%	86.4%	91.6%	88.4%	55.8%	32.6%	9.3%	2.3%
All Students	2009-10	100%	89.8%	95%	97.7%	69.8%	27.9%	2.3%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	88.3%	93.1%	94.4%	61.1%	33.3%	5.6%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	91.9%	96%	100%	68.4%	31.6%	0%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	84.6%	90.2%	84%	52%	32%	12%	4%
Male	2009-10	100%	87.9%	94.1%	95.8%	70.8%	25%	4.2%	0%
Black or	2008-09	<10	75.1%	74.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
African American									
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	80.6%	85.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
American Indian or Alaska Native	2009-10	<10	84.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2008-09	<10	93.1%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	95.1%	90.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	<10	79%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	90%	94%	88.9%	58.3%	30.6%	8.3%	2.8%
White	2009-10	100%	92.7%	96.7%	100%	82.9%	17.1%	0%	0%
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	74.9%	90%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	63.4%	82.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	71%	89.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantage	-	<10	79.1%	74.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantage		<10	84.5%	81.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04									
All Students	2008-09	100%	82.8%	94.1%	94.5%	50.9%	43.6%	5.5%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	84.1%	92.9%	95.5%	52.3%	43.2%	4.5%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	84.7%	94.4%	96.4%	42.9%	53.6%	3.6%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	86.1%	94.7%	100%	50%	50%	0%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	80.9%	93.8%	92.6%	59.3%	33.3%	7.4%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	82.1%	91.4%	92.3%	53.8%	38.5%	7.7%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	65.6%	78.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	68.6%	71.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2008-09	<10	91.6%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian,	2009-10	<10	90.5%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander									
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	74.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	88%	96.6%	95.8%	56.3%	39.6%	4.2%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	88.9%	96.5%	97.2%	52.8%	44.4%	2.8%	0%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	83.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2008-09	<10	61.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	64.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	54.7%	80.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	58%	80.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economicall Disadvantage		<10	72.9%	66.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economicall Disadvantage		<10	75.7%	78.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
All Students	2008-09	100%	81.5%	93.4%	92%	50%	42%	6%	2%
All Students	2009-10	100%	85.2%	95.9%	100%	77.8%	22.2%	0%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	83.4%	95.7%	95.8%	58.3%	37.5%	4.2%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	86.8%	96.8%	100%	73.3%	26.7%	0%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	79.8%	91.2%	88.5%	42.3%	46.2%	7.7%	3.8%
Male	2009-10	100%	83.5%	95.1%	100%	83.3%	16.7%	0%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	63.5%	77%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	70.4%	80.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	90.6%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	86.9%	96.1%	95.2%	57.1%	38.1%	4.8%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	89.8%	98%	100%	76.1%	23.9%	0%	0%
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	61.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	49.2%	70.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	57.6%	83.6%	100%	54.5%	45.5%	0%	0%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Economically Disadvantage	1	<10	70.5%	77%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantage		<10	76.6%	75%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics									
Grade: 03									
All Students	2008-09	100%	91.3%	96.6%	97.7%	74.4%	23.3%	2.3%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	94.8%	97.6%	95.3%	81.4%	14%	4.7%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	90.9%	96.4%	100%	66.7%	33.3%	0%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	94.8%	97.1%	94.7%	73.7%	21.1%	5.3%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	91.6%	96.8%	96%	80%	16%	4%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	94.8%	98%	95.8%	87.5%	8.3%	4.2%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	78.9%	82.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	87.7%	90.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
American Indian or Alaska Native	2009-10	<10	92.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native	2008-09	<10	96%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander									
Asian, 2 Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	98%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or 2 Latino	2008-09	<10	86.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White 2	2008-09	100%	94.9%	98.8%	100%	80.6%	19.4%	0%	0%
White 2	2009-10	100%	96.9%	98.7%	100%	91.4%	8.6%	0%	0%
Limited 2 English Proficient	2008-09	<10	85.1%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students 2 with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	79.7%	89%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students 2 with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	87.8%	97%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantage		<10	85.7%	83.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantage		<10	91.9%	91.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
All Students	2008-09	100%	87.9%	96.6%	96.4%	70.9%	25.5%	1.8%	1.8%
All Students	2009-10	100%	92.3%	97.3%	100%	65.9%	34.1%	0%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	87.9%	96.7%	100%	60.7%	39.3%	0%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	92.9%	97.5%	100%	55.6%	44.4%	0%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	87.8%	96.6%	92.6%	81.5%	11.1%	3.7%	3.7%
Male	2009-10	100%	91.6%	97.2%	100%	73.1%	26.9%	0%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	73.8%	87.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	82.3%	86.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2008-09	<10	95.1%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	96.9%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	89.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	92%	98%	97.9%	72.9%	25%	0%	2.1%
White	2009-10	100%	95.1%	99.2%	100%	72.2%	27.8%	0%	0%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	86.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Limited	2008-09	<10	75.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	%Proficient(Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Proficient									
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	86.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	68.1%	87.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	80%	92.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economicall Disadvantage		<10	80.4%	82.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economicall Disadvantage		<10	87.8%	92%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 05									
All Students	2008-09	100%	76.8%	88%	82%	40%	42%	16%	2%
All Students	2009-10	100%	79.5%	93.4%	87%	68.5%	18.5%	11.1%	1.9%
Female	2008-09	100%	75.8%	87.8%	79.2%	33.3%	45.8%	20.8%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	79.6%	93.6%	83.3%	60%	23.3%	13.3%	3.3%
Male	2008-09	100%	77.8%	88.2%	84.6%	46.2%	38.5%	11.5%	3.8%
Male	2009-10	100%	79.4%	93.3%	91.7%	79.2%	12.5%	8.3%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	55.2%	72.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or	2009-10	<10	62.5%	80.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
African American									
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	93%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	83%	90.9%	83.3%	45.2%	38.1%	16.7%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	84.3%	95.2%	89.1%	69.6%	19.6%	8.7%	2.2%
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	67.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	46.9%	59.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	52.8%	76.8%	54.5%	27.3%	27.3%	45.5%	0%
Economically Disadvantage		<10	64.4%	70.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantage		<10	69.8%	76.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science									
Grade: 05									
All Students	2008-09	100%	83.1%	91.7%	88%	60%	28%	6%	6%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
All Students	2009-10	100%	81%	92.8%	96.3%	66.7%	29.6%	3.7%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	83.3%	94.7%	95.8%	66.7%	29.2%	0%	4.2%
Female	2009-10	100%	81.1%	94%	96.7%	63.3%	33.3%	3.3%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	82.9%	88.9%	80.8%	53.8%	26.9%	11.5%	7.7%
Male	2009-10	100%	80.8%	91.8%	95.8%	70.8%	25%	4.2%	0%
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	61.8%	71.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2009-10	<10	59.6%	69.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	88.8%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	89.4%	95%	92.9%	64.3%	28.6%	4.8%	2.4%
White	2009-10	100%	87.5%	96.2%	97.8%	67.4%	30.4%	2.2%	0%
Limited English Proficient	2009-10	<10	56.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	63.6%	75%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	60.7%	77.5%	81.8%	36.4%	45.5%	18.2%	0%
Economicall	2008-09	<10	72.3%	71%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	Students	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Disadvantage	d								
Economically Disadvantage	1	<10	70.6%	63.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
No records to	o display.								

MI-Access

Functional Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No records to	display.							

Supported Independence

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
No records to	display.							

Participation

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
English Langu	lage Arts							
Grade: 03								
All Students	2009-10	<10	69.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	71.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	71.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Grade: 04								
All Students	2008-09	<10	58.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	53.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	59.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 05								
All Students	2009-10	<10	62.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	61.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	62.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics								
Grade: 03								
All Students	2009-10	<10	70.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	74%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	71.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04								
All Students	2008-09	<10	60.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	52.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	61.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Grade: 05								
All Students	2009-10	<10	58.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	55.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	59.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science Grade: 05								
								-
All Students	2009-10	<10	66.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	63.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	68.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

MEAP-Access

	tudent Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
No r	ecords to	display.							

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Detail Reporting for Wayne RESA, Grosse Pointe Public Schools, Robert Trombly Elementary School

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
All Students		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	93.9%
Mathematics	98.9%	93.7%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.8%	97.5%
Mathematics	99.8%	97.3%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	99.5%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	88.4%
Mathematics	97.4%	88%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.6%	91.7%
Mathematics	99.6%	91.3%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
State English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	93.2%
Mathematics	99.2%	93.2%
District		1
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	96.8%
Mathematics	99.6%	97.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	100%
Mathematics	100%	98.9%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.3%	91.3%
Mathematics	98.9%	92.4%
District		·
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	100%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	100%	100%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
White		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.4%	95.3%
Mathematics	99.3%	95.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.8%	98.3%
Mathematics	99.8%	98.1%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	99.4%
Mathematics	100%	98.7%
Multiracial		
State		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	102.4%	93.5%
Mathematics	102.3%	94.3%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Limited English Proficient		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	123.6%	86.9%
Mathematics	126.3%	92.3%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	94.1%	100%
Mathematics	97.1%	96.7%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Students with Disabilities		
State		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	73.1%		
Mathematics	102.2%	76.5%		
District				
English Language Arts / Reading	98.9%	86.2%		
Mathematics	98.9%	85%		
School				
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30		
Mathematics	<30	<30		
State English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	00.6%		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	90.6%		
Mathematics	102.5%	91.1%		
District				
English Language Arts / Reading	110.8%	93.8%		
Mathematics	110.8%	93.5%		
School				
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30		
Mathematics	<30	<30		

Note: 467 Recently arrived LEP students took part in the State's ELPA instead of the MEAP/MME/MI-Access. <u>* AYP Targets (Annual Measurable Objectives)</u>

Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students
State
75.39%
District
>95%
Black or African American
State
56.59%
District
85.87%
American Indian or Alaska Native
state

65% istrict Intersection of the sector of	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
sian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander ate 84.47% istrict >95% ispanic or Latino ate 59.94%	
sian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander ate 84.47% istrict >95% ispanic or Latino ate 59.94%	District
ate 84.47% istrict >95% ispanic or Latino ate 59.94% istrict	<10
84.47% istrict >95% ispanic or Latino ate 59.94% istrict	Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander
istrict >95% ispanic or Latino ate 59.94% istrict	State
>95% ispanic or Latino ate 59.94% istrict	84.47%
ispanic or Latino ate 59.94% istrict	District
ate 59.94%	>95%
59.94%	Hispanic or Latino
istrict	State
	59.94%
91 67%	District
21.01/0	91.67%
Thite	Vhite

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
State		
	81.85%	
District		
	>95%	
Multiracial		
State		
	71.12%	
District		
	<10	
Limited English Proficient		
State		
	65.51%	
District		
	<10	

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
Students with Disabilities		
State		
	57.61%	
District		
	82.35%	
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		
	59.8%	
District		
	82%	
	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
All Students		
State		

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
94.7%
District
96.4%
School
96%
Black or African American
State
91%
District
95.3%
School
95.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native
State

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
93.7%
District
94.8%
chool
93.6%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander
tate
96.5%
District
96.6%
chool
95.3%
Hispanic or Latino
tate

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
	94.1%	
District		
	96.3%	
School		
	98.8%	
White		
State		
	95.7%	
District		
	96.6%	
School		
	96.1%	
Multiracial		
State		

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
94.8%
strict
95.9%
hool
93%
mited English Proficient
ate
94.6%
strict
95.7%
hool
95%
udents with Disabilities
ate

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
93.5%
District
95.5%
chool
95.9%
Economically Disadvantaged
tate
94.8%
District
96.4%
chool
96%

* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

** More information regarding AYP can be found at the following link: http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,1607,7-140-22709_22875---,00.html

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		38%			31%		42%
2002-03		38%			31%		42%
2003-04		38%			31%		42%
2004-05		48%			43%		52%
2005-06	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2006-07	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2007-08	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2008-09	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2009-10	70%	69%	68%	67%	66%	65%	71%
2010-11	78%	77%	76%	75%	74%	73%	79%
2011-12	86%	85%	84%	83%	82%	82%	86%
2012-13	93%	92%	92%	91%	91%	91%	93%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Reading/ELA

Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Mathematics

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		47%				31%	33%
2002-03		47%				31%	33%
2003-04		47%				31%	33%
2004-05		56%				43%	44%
2005-06	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2006-07	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2007-08	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2008-09	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2009-10	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2010-11	75%	74%	71%	70%	67%	66%	67%
2011-12	83%	82%	81%	80%	78%	77%	78%
2012-13	91%	91%	90%	90%	89%	89%	89%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Status Reporting for Wayne RESA, Grosse Pointe Public Schools, Robert Trombly Elementary School

School AYP Status

Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
No	Met	Met	Met	А	N/A	0

December, 2009 School-Level Teacher Quality Reporting for Wayne RESA, Grosse Pointe Public Schools, Robert Trombly

Elementary School

	Other	B.	A.	M.A.	Ph.D
Professional	0	4		27	1
Qualifications of All					
Public Elementary and					
Secondary School					
Teachers in the School					
-	•	•		on such as the degrees of pu or the percentage of fully co	
Percentage of Public Elem	entary and Secondary Scho	ool Teachers	0%		
in the School with Emerge	ency Certification				
School Aggregate					
Percentage of Core Acade	mic Subject Elementary an	d Secondary	0%		
School Classes not Taught	by Highly Qualified Teacl	hers			

Michigan Report Card for the National Assessment of Educational Progress

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male	50	22	41	30	7
Female	50	22	45	29	4
National Lunch					

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 56 ‡		47 40 ‡	16 40 ‡	1 9 ‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	71 20 5 3 1 1	52	43 39 51 32 ‡ ‡	37 9 19 36 ‡ ‡	6 0 1 19 * *
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	42 19	39 44	17 31	2 6
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97		40 43	11 31	1 5

‡ Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	32	37	24	7
Male	51	31	37	24	8
Female	49	33	38	24	5
National Lunch					

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	38 62 ‡	50 21 ‡	37 38 ‡	12 31 ‡	1 10 ‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	74 18 4 2 1 ‡	68	40 27 45 30 ‡ ‡	29 4 15 31 [‡] [‡]	8 1 2 28 [‡] [‡]
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90		22 39	2 27	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	58 32	32 37	10 24	0 7

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	34	23	6
Male	50	39	35	21	5
Female	50	32	34	26	8
National Lunch					

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 57 #	52 24 ‡	33 36 ‡	13 31 ‡	2 10 ‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	5	28 65 49 21 [‡] [‡]	36 26 34 37 [‡]	28 7 15 25 ‡ ‡	8 1 2 17 * *
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	66 32	24 36	8 25	3 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL		65 35	26 35	9 24	1 7

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	28	41	28	3
Male	51	33	42	23	2
Female	49	23	41	32	4

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
HIMP	37	44	41	14	1
	62	18	42	36	4
	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	74 18 4 2 1 1	21 54 40 ‡ ‡	32 37 34 ‡ ‡	32 9 24 * *	3 # 2 * * *
SD	9	73	22	4	#
	91	23	43	30	3
0 0	2	60	33	8	#
	98	27	42	28	3

Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for IEP Students	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading	82.08 72.05		81.98 72.01			3.063 3.53
8	Math Reading	76.39 70.72		76.21 70.46			4.12 4.505